V .	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/682,084	BOYLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Beemnet W. Dada	2135
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Beemnet W. Dada</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark Rogers.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 8-12 and 19		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	. The examiner will provide a writ ate record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	icant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/682,084

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested cancelling dependent claims 9-11 and rewriting independent claim 8 including all limitations of claims 8-11. Examiner further suggested including the limitations of claims 9 and 10 into independent claim 19. Applicant agreed, and approved the examiner to do examiners amendment.